



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/768,841	01/30/2004	Tomoyuki Yamamoto	09812.0159-01	7117
22852 7590 10/23/2007 FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413			EXAMINER SCHNURR, JOHN R	
			ART UNIT 2623	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 10/23/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/768,841

Applicant(s)

YAMAMOTO, TOMOYUKI

Examiner

John R. Schnurr

Art Unit

2623

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 August 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 43-58 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 43-58 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 January 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 01/30/2004.
- ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- ☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 43-58 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims **43, 46, 48, 49, 50, 51, 54, 56, 57 and 58** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Hassell et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2005/0278771)**, herein Hassell, in view of **Eyer et al. (US Patent 6,588,015)** herein Eyer.

Consider **claim 43**, Hassell et al. clearly teach an apparatus, comprising:

storing means for storing a plurality of content items, the plurality of content items including a first content item and a second content
(Programs are recorded onto digital storage device 31 of Fig. 2. [0020])

content classifying means for classifying the stored plurality of content items; **(Stored programs may be classified by a plurality of different criteria. [0037])**

virtual channel assigning means for assigning the classified plurality of content items to a virtual channel; **(Programs classified and stored on the digital storage device 31 are treated as additional channels. [0037])**

Art Unit: 2623

arranging means for arranging the classified plurality of content items into the virtual channel; **(Multiple rows of the program guide are used for different classifications of stored programs. [0037])**

input means for receiving a user input; **(Signals from remote control 40 of Fig. 2 are received at the set-top box and processed to control operation of the stored programs. [0039])**

reproducing means for reproducing the first content item; **(Television 36 of Fig. 2 receives video signals from digital storage device 31. [0022])**

selection means for selecting either the second content item, or a position within the first content item; **(The user may fast-forward or rewind to a position within the program, [0040].)**

However, Hassell does not explicitly teach wherein the selection means selects the second content item or the position within the first content item based on a duration of the user input.

In an analogous art Eyer, which discloses a system for playing digital media, clearly teaches wherein the selection means selects the second content item or the position within the first content item based on a duration of the user input. **(The amount of fast-forwarding or rewinding is a function of the duration in which the button is depressed, column 7 lines 39-56.)**

Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Hassell by selecting a position in the content item based on the duration of user input, as taught by Eyer, for the benefit of enhancing user control of the content.

Consider **claim 46**, Hassell combined with Eyer, as in claim 43, clearly teaches a recorded program schedule displaying apparatus, wherein:

the position within the first content item is selected by one of fast forward, rewind, slow, replay or scene jump. **(The user operation can include fast-forward, rewind, pause, stop or the like. [0040])**

Consider **claim 48**, Hassell combined with Eyer, as in claim 43, clearly teaches a recorded program schedule displaying apparatus;

The program schedule displaying apparatus according to claim 43 (Fig. 5b shows a program guide displaying recorded contents.), wherein: the plurality of content items are programs provided via ground stations, satellite stations, wireless network or wired network. **(Fig. 1 : Link 18 may**

Art Unit: 2623

be a satellite link, a telephone network link, a cable or fiber optic link, a microwave link, a combination of such links, or any other suitable communications path. [0016])

Consider **claim 49**, Hassell combined with Eyer, as in claim 43, clearly teaches a recorded program schedule displaying apparatus, wherein:

the plurality of content items are comprised of visual and sound data. (The programs received by the STB are comprised of video and audio data. [0021])

Consider **claim 50**, Hassell combined with Eyer, as in claim 43, clearly teaches a recorded program schedule displaying apparatus, wherein:

the plurality of content items are multimedia data or replay application programs. (The contents received by the STB include program listings, programs (audio/video) and program data. [0024])

Consider **claim 51**, Hassell et al. clearly teach a method, comprising:

storing a Plurality of content items, the plurality of content items including a first content item and a second content; (Programs are recorded onto digital storage device 31 of Fig. 2. [0020])

classifying the stored plurality of content items; (Stored programs may be classified by a plurality of different criteria. [0037])

assigning the classified plurality of content items to a virtual channel; (Programs classified and stored on the digital storage device 31 are treated as additional channels. [0037])

arranging the classified plurality of content items into the virtual channel; (Multiple rows of the program guide are used for different classifications of stored programs. [0037])

receiving a user input; (Signals from remote control 40 of Fig. 2 are received at the set-top box and processed to control operation of the stored programs. [0039])

reproducing the first content item; (Television 36 of Fig. 2 receives video signals from digital storage device 31. [0022])

selecting either the second content item, or a position within the first content item; (The user may fast-forward or rewind to a position within the program, [0040].)

Art Unit: 2623

However, Hassell does not explicitly teach wherein the selection means selects the second content item or the position within the first content item based on a duration of the user input.

In an analogous art Eyer, which discloses a system for playing digital media, clearly teaches wherein the selection means selects the second content item or the position within the first content item based on a duration of the user input. **(The amount of fast-forwarding or rewinding is a function of the duration in which the button is depressed, column 7 lines 39-56.)**

Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to modify the system of Hassell by selecting a position in the content item based on the duration of user input, as taught by Eyer, for the benefit of enhancing user control of the content.

Consider **claim 54**, Hassell combined with Eyer, as in claim 51, clearly teaches a recorded program schedule displaying apparatus, wherein:

said user operation is fast forward, rewind, slow, replay or scene jump. **(The user operation can include fast-forward, rewind, pause, stop or the like. [0040])**

Consider **claim 56**, Hassell combined with Eyer, as in claim 51, clearly teaches a recorded program schedule displaying apparatus, wherein:

The program schedule displaying method according to claim 51 (Fig. 5b shows a program guide displaying recorded contents.), wherein: said contents are programs provided via ground stations, satellite stations, wireless network or wired network. (Fig. 1: Link 18 may be a satellite link, a telephone network link, a cable or fiber optic link, a microwave link, a combination of such links, or any other suitable communications path. [0016])

Consider **claim 57**, Hassell combined with Eyer, as in claim 51, clearly teaches a recorded program schedule displaying apparatus, wherein:

said contents are comprised of visual and sound data. **(The programs received by the STB are comprised of video and audio data. [0021])**

Consider **claim 58**, Hassell combined with Eyer, as in claim 51, clearly teaches a recorded program schedule displaying apparatus, wherein:

said contents are multimedia data or replay application programs. **(The contents received by the STB include program listings, programs (audio/video) and program data. [0024])**

Art Unit: 2623

4. Claims **44** and **52** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Hassell et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2005/0278771)**, in view of **Eyer et al. (US Patent 6,588,015)**, as applied to claims 43 and 51 above, and further in view of **Durlach (US Patent 6,807,367)**.

Consider **claim 44**, Hassell combined with Eyer, as in claim 43, clearly teaches a recorded program schedule displaying apparatus with a play segment indicator 135 as shown in Fig. 12b;

However, Hassell combined with Eyer, as in claim 43, do not explicitly teach a display showing the current position of the video being displayed. Specifically, Hassell et al. do not teach:

said displaying means displays an indicator of current replaying position.

In the same field of endeavor Durlach, which discloses a system for displaying video, clearly teaches;

said displaying means displays an indicator of current replaying position.
(Fig. S4 Current Location Indicator 206, see Column 13 Lines 26-36.)

Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to have included the video progress meter, as taught by Durlach, in the system disclosed by Hassell combined with Eyer, as in claim 43, for the advantage of providing convenient control of frame advance with in a movie (see Column 5 Lines 16-21 of Durlach).

Consider **claim 52**, see claim 44.

5. Claims **45** and **53** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Hassell et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2005/0278771)**, in view of **Eyer et al. (US Patent 6,588,015)**, as applied to claims 43 and 51 above, and further in view of **Maissel et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2003/0088872)**, herein Maissel.

Art Unit: 2623

Consider **claim 45**, Hassell combined with Eyer, as in claim 43, clearly teaches a recorded program schedule displaying apparatus with a variety of program classification criteria, wherein:

said content classifying means classifies in accordance with a broadcasting time sequence, **(Programs can be classified using any pre-defined organization criteria, [0037], one such pre-defined criteria is program times as transmitted from the main facility 12 of Fig. 1 to the user television equipment 22, [0017].)**

Hassell further teach that the organization criteria may be any user-defined criteria. However, Hassell combined with Eyer, as in claim 43, do not explicitly teach the use of user preferences or viewing history. Specifically, Hassell combined with Eyer, as in claim 43, do not teach:

order of recommendation rating for the user preference, or past viewing history of the user.

In the same field of endeavor Maissel, which discloses a recording system for digital television, clearly teaches;

order of recommendation rating for the user preference, or past viewing history of the user. **(A viewer preference profile is created indicating types of programs preferred by the viewer. Maissel [0173])**

Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to have included the viewer preference profile, as taught by Maissel, in the system disclosed by Hassell combined with Eyer, as in claim 43, for the advantage of customizing an electronic program guide for an individual user (see [0045] of Maissel et al.).

Consider **claim 53**, see claim 45.

6. Claims **47 and 55** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Hassell et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2005/0278771)**, in view of **Eyer et al. (US Patent 6,588,015)**, as applied to claims 43 and 51 above, and further in view of **Schein et al. (US Patent 6,323,911)**, herein Schein.

Consider **claim 47**, Hassell combined with Eyer, as in claim 43, clearly teaches a recorded program schedule displaying apparatus with a variety of program classification criteria.

Art Unit: 2623

However, Hassell combined with Eyer, as in claim 43, do not explicitly teach acquiring the current time and using it to calculate a Value when a user input is received. Specifically, Hassell combined with Eyer, as in claim 43, does not teach:

current time acquiring means for acquiring current time; and

calculating means for calculating change value comparing said Current time when receiving said user operation.

In the same field of endeavor Schein, which discloses a system for displaying television schedule information, clearly teaches;

current time acquiring means for acquiring current time; (The **current** time is obtained by the EPG and displayed in the lower right corner **as shown** in Fig. 4A. Schein et al.) and

calculating means for calculating change value comparing said current time when receiving said user operation. (When **the user** enters the EPG, **via input** from the remote control device 2 of Fig. 1, the **current** time is used to **calculate the** portion of the program that has already **been played**. Schein et al. Column 9 Lines 13-18)

Therefore, at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to have included the calculation of the amount of the program already played, as taught by Schein, in the system disclosed by Hassell combined with Eyer, as in claim 43, for the advantage of visually indicating the time remaining in each program (see Column 2 Lines 44-60 of Schein et al.).

Consider **claim 55**, see claim 47.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2623

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John R. Schnurr whose telephone number is (571) 270-1458. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Grant can be reached on (571) 272-7294. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JRS

JASON SALCE
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jason Salce", written in a cursive style.